

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION  
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018

Dated: 05.10.2018

**Subject: Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode. - Result of Technical evaluation & Cancellation Tender -Reg.-**

**Reference:** Your bid dated 05.09.2018

Sir,

Based upon the evaluation of bids, the status of technical qualification of all the four bidders is tabulated as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	94.78	Responsive
2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited	76.65	The Score of five key personnel is less than 75% marks. Hence, Bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2 of RFP "In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered Non-responsive".
3	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	-	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non-responsive.



4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Construction Supervision/Independent's Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 of section 1 of RFP. Therefore, Non-Responsive.
---	--	--

2. The copy of minutes of meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 03.10.2018 is also enclosed.
3. The tender for the above mentioned work has now been cancelled and the Authority shall re-invite the RFP.

Yours Faithfully,



Y.C. Srivastava  
General Manager (T)



National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluating Technical Bids for “Consultancy Services for Authority’s Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.400 km and Ch. 51.000 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand” on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode held at NHIDCL on 03.10.2018, New Delhi (2<sup>nd</sup> meeting).

1. ETEC Committee in its meeting dated 17.09.2018 has recommended for technically responsive bidders. Consequent upon Approval of Competent Authority the technical score of the bidders along with minutes of meeting were uploaded on the website to submit the clarification/representation if any by the consultant on or before 25.09.2018(15:30 hrs). The uploaded scores of respective consultants are tabulated below.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	94.78	Responsive
2	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Private Limited	76.65	The Score of five key personnel is less than 75% marks. Hence, Bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2 of RFP “In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered Non-responsive”.
3	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	-	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non -responsive.





4	M/s Sysra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	-	The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Construction Supervision/Independent's Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 of section 1 of RFP. Therefore, Non-Responsive.
---	---	---	--

2. In response, only two bidders viz M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amber Engineering Ag and M/s TPF Getinsa Eurostudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. along with supporting documents represented for higher score vide letter dated 20.09.2018 & 25.09.2018 respectively. The same were handed over to the financial consultant to check their tenability.
3. Financial consultant vide its letter dated 01.10.2018 submitted their report (Annexure-1) with details as under:

Sr	Name of Bidder	Query	Reply by Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Recommendation by ETEC Committee
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Eurostudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	In bank guarantee, furnish the amendment to the words submitted bank "The guarantee no. liability of bank under this guarantee shall not be affected by any change in the constitution of the consultant or of the Bank" are missing.	We have asked to the bank guarantee, furnish the amendment to the words submitted bank "The guarantee no. liability of bank under this guarantee shall not be affected by any change in the constitution of the consultant or of the Bank" are missing.	The bidder has furnished amendment to the bank guarantee for captioned of financial consultant. In the view of the clarification received, same may be considered. Outcome: May be considered.	We may agree with the remarks of financial consultant







		Bidder to furnish amendme nt to bank guarantee for above mentione d query.			
2	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non - responsiv e.	<p>We have gone through the above referred technical results document (evaluation report at page no. 33) in detail and found that our certificate for “Download Line MRT Contract No. 9181C issued by Land Transport Authority of Govt. Of Singapore” has been consider for PMC experience criteria Clause no. 11A). Of Table 1(a) &amp; (b) at page no.5 of RFP for preparation of DPR/Design/Feasibilit y Study. Meinhardt is the Lead Consultant for all Engineering &amp; PMC service in this project. The project consists of 9 Km of Tunnel &amp; 06 Nos. Of underground stations and was completed on 06.06.2017.</p> <p>For your ready reference we are once again enclosing the same certificate which is already submitted along with our bid.</p>	<p>(i). Bidder has claimed the project “Downtown Line MRT Contract No. 9181C issued by Land Transport Authority of Govt. of Singapore” for design experience, however the experience certificate furnished by the bidder specifies that they are the lead consultant for the Architectural/ Engineering Consultancy Services for the project and all the projects mentioned therein are pertaining to construction.</p> <p>Further, it cannot be substantiated that the projects are pertaining to experience of preparation of DPR/Design/Feasibility Study.</p> <p>Accordingly, the project is not eligible for consideration for the experience of preparation of DPR/Design/Feasibility Study.</p> <p>(ii). Further, bidder has also clarified that AlpTransit Gotthard AG is a subsidiary of Swiss Fedral Railway’s (SFR) which is a Swiss Government Institution. In view of the clarification received, same may be considered.</p>	We may agree with the remarks of Financial Consultant

L-h

an

R-

date,

ohll

	<p>We wish to state here that we are meeting all eligibility criteria stated in Clause no. 11 A). Of Table 1(a) &amp; 1(b) at page no. 5 of RFP for DPR/Design/Feasibility Study and project Supervision/IC by the same certificate.</p> <p>Further based on technical result (evaluation report) at page no. 33 you have not considered the experience certificate issued by Alptransit Gotthard AG. We wish to state that Alptransit Gotthard AG is a subsidiary of Swiss Federal Railway's (SFR) a Swiss Government Institution hence certificate issued by them is as per your requirement in Appendix-A Clause no. VIII (b) of RFP.</p>	<p>As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S. No. 1 (a) &amp; (b), it is mentioned that :-</p> <p>11 A, S. No. 1 (a) - Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) - The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and</p> <p>11 A, S. No. 1 (b) - Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) - Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length respectively. Also, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, it is mentioned that :-</p> <p>Eligibility Criteria for partners in case of JV (not more than 1 JV partners shall be allowed) shall be as under:</p> <p>The lead partner must fulfill at least 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para (A) above and other JV partner should fulfill at least 30% of eligibility criteria as indicated at 1(a) of table in para (A) above.</p> <p>Thus, on the basis of evaluation of above mentioned representation submitted by the Bidder, it is still not meeting the above mentioned requirements of the RFP document. Hence, bidder has been considered as non-responsive.</p>			
--	--	---	--	--	--



4. It can be seen from the above, after considering representations final Technical Score of bidders are tabulated below:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score uploaded vide Letter No. NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018 dated 18.09.2018.	Final Technical Score (After Representation)	Status
1	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	94.78	94.78	Responsive
2	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	-	-	The Lead partner has not qualified the minimum experience in DPR/FS of 50% of 2 times of length (i.e. 9.718 km). Therefore Non -responsive.
3	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	-	-	The bidder has not qualified the minimum experience in Construction Supervision/Independent's Engineer/Authority's Engineer of 3 times of length (i.e. 14.577 km) as per Clause 11 of section 1 of RFP. Therefore, Non-Responsive.
4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA	76.65	76.65	The Score of five key personnel is less than 75% marks. Hence, Bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per Clause

*L/L*      *an*      *[Signature]*      *[Signature]*      *[Signature]*

	Engineering Consultants Private Limited			3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2 of RFP "In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered Non-responsive".
--	---	--	--	--

5. Having deliberated & agreed with the evaluation report submitted of M/s Almond Global Securities Limited by the Financial Consultant, the ETFC recommended to annul the bidding process as only one bidder is getting eligible for opening of financial bids. Hence, it becomes a single bid case in the first call, the bids should be re-invited after seeking approval of the Competent Authority.

The Meeting ended with Vote of Thanks to the Chair.

V.K.Singh  
ED-IV, Chairman

Y.C.Srivastava, GM (T),  
Member, Secretary

Adil Singh  
GM (T), Member

Kavita Vivek  
Manager(Tech),  
Member

Utam Chatterjee  
(DGM-Fin.), Member



**Almondz Global Securities Ltd.**

Ref. No. :- ST/2017-18/AE/002

October 01, 2018

General Manager (Tech),  
National Highway & Infrastructure  
Development Corporation Ltd.  
PTI Building, 3rd Floor,  
4, Parliament Street,  
New Delhi-110001

Sub: "Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi- Directional Silkyara Bend - Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand" on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode - Final evaluation report.

Ref: 1. Our Preliminary report letter dated September 17, 2018  
2. Bidder's clarification forwarded by Authority on September 26, 2018

Dear Sir,

This is in continuation to our earlier report dated September 17, 2018, whereby we had submitted preliminary report for captioned project.

As per our evaluation, the result was as follows:

S. No.	Name of Applicants	Final Outcome
1.	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
2.	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive
3.	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	Non-Responsive
4.	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive

Further, Authority had sought clarification from the qualified bidder namely, M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd., regarding technical bid submitted by them.

SEBI Merchant Banking Registration No. INM000000834

F - 33/3 Okhla Industrial Area Phase - II, New Delhi - 110 020, India. Tel.: + 91 11 4350 0700 / 800 Fax : +91 11 4350 0735; 4350 0787

CIN : L74899DL1994PLC059833 \* Email : dell@almondz.com \* Website : www.almondzglobal.com

Registered Office: 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 3 Scindia House, Connaught, New Delhi 110001 India. Tel.: +91 11 4151 4666-669 Fax: +91 11 4151 4665



Also, as informed by the Authority, they had allowed bidders to make their representation on the declared result. Further, Authority had forwarded us the representation submitted by 1 (one) bidder, namely M/s. Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag. vide its letter no. MSP/L/BD/2018/113 dated September 20, 2018. We have reviewed the clarification and representation submitted by the two bidders and attached herewith is our report for the same.

For any further information /clarification, please advise us accordingly.

Thanking You,

Yours Sincerely,

For Almondz Global Securities Ltd.



Authorised Signatory

*for M/s*



Clarification received from M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.

1/4. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.				
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Description of clarification sought	Reply by bidder	Our response to the clarification received
1.	Appendix N Bank Guarantee for bid security	In bank guarantee, line no. 47 words "The liability of bank under this Guarantee shall not be affected by any change in the constitution of the consultant or of the Bank" are missing.  Bidder to furnish amendment to bank guarantee for above mentioned query.	We have asked to furnish the amendment to the submitted bank Guarantee no. LOBG201011802665. In regard, we enclosed the required amendment to the BG for your further needful.	The bidder has furnished amendment to the bank guarantee for captioned query.  In the view of the clarification received, same may be considered.  <b>Outcome: May be considered.</b>





Representation received from M/s. Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag.

Appendix/ Annex No.	Particulars	Representation by bidder	Our remarks to the representation made by bidder
3/4. M/s. Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag.	General	As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S. No. 1 (a) & (b), Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent), the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length respectively. In case of joint venture/consortium, above mentioned eligibility criteria are to be met by the lead member of joint venture.  Further, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, in case of the JV, the lead	(i). Bidder has claimed the project "Downtown Line MRT Contract No. 9181C issued by Land Transport Authority of Govt. of Singapore" for design experience, however the experience certificate furnished by the bidder specifies that they are the lead consultant for the Architectural/ Engineering Consultancy Services for the project and all the projects mentioned therein are pertaining to construction.  Further, it cannot be substantiated that the projects are pertaining to experience of preparation of DPR/Design/Feasibility Study.  Accordingly, the project is not eligible for consideration for the







	<p>member must fulfill at least 50% of eligibility criteria mentioned above and other JV partner should fulfill atleast 30% of eligibility criteria as described above.</p> <p>However, in the case of M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag, the bidder is not meeting both the above mentioned eligibility criteria.</p> <p>Hence, bidder has been considered as non-responsive.</p>	<p>our bid.</p> <p>We wish to state here that we are meeting all eligibility criteria stated in Clause no. 11 A). Of Table 1(a) &amp; 1(b) at page no. 5 of RFP for DPR/Design/Feasibility Study and project Supervision/IC by the same certificate.</p> <p>Further based on technical result (evaluation report) at page no. 33 you have not considered the experience certificate issued by AlpTransit Gotthard AG. We wish to state that AlpTransit Gotthard AG is a subsidiary of Swiss Federal Railway's (SFR) a Swiss Government Institution hence certificate issued by them is as per your requirement in Appendix-A Clause no. VIII (b) of RFP.</p>	<p>experience of preparation of DPR/Design/Feasibility Study.</p> <p>(ii). Further, bidder has also clarified that AlpTransit Gotthard AG is a subsidiary of Swiss Federal Railway's (SFR) which is a Swiss Government Institution. In view of the clarification received, same may be considered.</p> <p>As per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 A, S. No. 1 (a) &amp; (b), it is mentioned that :-</p> <p><b>11 A, S. No. 1 (a) - Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) -</b> The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Design Feasibility Study/ of Tunnel project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited and</p> <p><b>11 A, S. No. 1 (b) - Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) -</b> Firm should also have prepared DPR/Design/Feasibility Study/ for at least one project of similar category of Tunnel work of 40% of project length respectively.</p>
--	---	--	---





Also, as per RFP's Section 1, point no. 11 B, it is mentioned that :-

Eligibility Criteria for partners in case of JV (not more than 1 JV partners shall be allowed) shall be as under:

The lead partner must fulfil atleast 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para (A) above and other JV partner should fulfil atleast 30% of eligibility criteria as indicated at 1(a) of table in para (A) above.

Thus, on the basis of evaluation of above mentioned representation submitted by the Bidder, it is still not meeting the above mentioned requirements of the RFP document. Hence, bidder has been considered as non-responsive.







Recommendation / final summary of technical evaluation after representation are reproduced below.

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical score		Rank of the Firm	Whether the applicant is Responsive or Non-Responsive
		Earlier Score	Revised Score		
1/4	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt Ltd.	94.78	94.78	1	Responsive
2/4	M/s Geodata Engineering Spa in association with M/s LMA Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive			
3/4	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd. Joint venture with M/s Amberg Engineering Ag	Non-Responsive			
4/4	M/s Systra S.A. in association with M/s Pems Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive			



