

NHIDCL/Civil Works/Hunli-Anini(92-106)/2016

Date: 20.09.2016

To,

Eagle Infra India Limited	Sushee Infra & Mining Limited
---------------------------	-------------------------------

Subject: "2-LANING OF EXISTING HUNLI-ANINI ROAD ON EPC BASIS FROM DESIGN KM 92.500 TO KM.106.200 (EXISTING KM. 97.670 TO KM 111.600) IN THE STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH UNDER SARDP-NE"-**Financial Opening regarding**

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 29.08.2016 submitted towards subject cited project. The following is the final list of technically responsive Bidders. The financial bids of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on **27.09.2016 at 1700 hrs** in NHIDCL HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Sl.No	Name of the Bidder / JV	Status of eligibility
1	Eagle Infra India Ltd	Technically Responsive
2	SUSHEE INFRA & MINING LIMITED	Technically Responsive

2. The Copy of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 19.09.2016 is also enclosed.
3. In case of any clarification, the bidders may represent by 26.09.2016 (1600 hrs).
4. All the technically responsive bidders are requested to furnish their updated capacity as on upto two days (48hours) prior to financial opening on 27.09.2016 (1700 hrs) as per Annexure VI A of Appendix IA of RFP. The updated bid capacity should reach the undersigned by 26.09.2016.
5. All the Authorized Representatives are requested to attend the opening of Financial Bids at the Scheduled date and Time.



Y.C. Srivastava

GM (Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) regarding evaluating Technical Bids of “2-LANING OF EXISTING HUNLI-ANINI ROAD ON EPC BASIS FROM DESIGN KM 92.500 TO KM.106.200 (EXISTING KM. 97.670 TO KM 111.600) IN THE STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH UNDER SARDP-NE” held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 19.09.2016 (Second Meeting).

The RFPs for the subject work were invited with bid due date as 29.08.2016 till 1600 hrs.

2. ETBC its Minutes of Meeting dated 29.08.2016 has opened the Technical bid on 29.08.2016 at 1700 hrs. received from two bidders i.e. **M/s Eagle Infra India Limited** and **M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited** in the presence of applicant’s representatives who chose to attend the RFP opening.

3. The hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/>) were handed over to the concerned Financial Consultant for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids. The Financial Consultant of the package had submitted the preliminary RFP evaluation report in respect of each bidder, based on the stipulated evaluation criteria mentioned in the RFP. In the preliminary report, Consultant had recommended certain clarifications to be sought from some of the bidders. ETEC vide its first Minutes of Meeting dated 05.09.2016 opined that clarification may be asked from the bidders for further evaluation as recommended by Financial Consultant.

4. Accordingly, the clarifications have been sought from **M/s Eagle Infra India Limited** and **M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited**. The Clarification received from bidders were forward to the Financial Consultant for further evaluations. Financial Consultant **M/s Raj Har Gopal & Co.** vide letter dated 16.09.2016 has submitted final Technical Evaluation Report.

5. Clarification sought, reply received and recommendations of the financial consultants is as under

Clarifications required	Replies given by Bidder	Remarks of Financial Consultant
M/s Eagle Infra India Limited		
1. Appendix IA, Letter Comprising the Technical Bid, submitted by the Bidder is not as per the required format. In pt. no. 3 words – ‘provided therein’ is mentioned instead of ...Annexure I to VI... In pt. g, word ‘Road’ is mentioned instead of ‘Highway’	Corrected Appendix-IA is attached herewith.	The same is considered acceptable



<p>2. Appendix II, Bank Guarantee, submitted by the Bidder is not as per the required format. In point 9 words to be delivered is missing</p> <p>Point 16 is missing/not mentioned.</p>	<p>1. Modification in point 9 from the bank is attached.</p> <p>2. Letter received by bank about point No. 16 is attached.</p>	<p>The same is considered acceptable</p>
<p>3. In project code a, Bidder has claimed only payments made for the project and the same is verified from the Bidders Statutory Auditor, however, revenue appropriated from the project is not certified from the Bidders' Statutory Auditor.</p> <p>4. In project code c claimed by the Bidder, nature of work seems to be related to construction of 'LINK road' and as per clause 2.2.2.5 (V) of RFP 'the Project with title of 'link road' is to be considered under category 4. Please clarify how the project is cover under category 3 as per RFP duly certified from the Statutory Auditor.</p> <p>5. In project code g, project name mentioned in Annex IV is not in consonance with the project name mentioned in Statutory Auditor certificate attached for the same. Please clarify duly certified from Statutory Auditor.</p> <p>6. In project code h claimed by the Bidder seems to be related to Landscape development – Central Parks.</p> <p>In project code i claimed by the Bidder seems to be related to improvement & drainage work. Please clarify how the above two projects are cover under any category as per RFP, duly certified from Statutory Auditor/ respective client with its detail scope of work.</p> <p>7. Projects claimed by bidder under project code j, k & l, it is mentioned in Annex IV & Statutory Auditor that the construction works includes building work.</p>	<p>Attached the certificate of payment made and revenue appropriated with C.A. certified.</p> <p>Though the "Link road" is mentioned. It is actually a state highway No. 30 & hence it is eligible.</p> <p>(Incidentally it is now declared as part of National Highway)</p> <p>Revised Annex-IV is submitted.</p> <p>We wish to state that there works are covered under "Real Estate Development" as the works include building works along with infrastructure such as roads, water supply, drainage, landscape etc.</p>	<p>Technical capacity of the bidder is assessed as pr RFP.</p>

<p>As per clause 2.2.2.5 (II), - construction of BUILDINGS shall not be considered for evaluation.</p> <p>Please clarify how the projects mentioned in project code j, k & l cover under any category as per RFP. Also provide detail scope of work for these projects duly certified from Statutory Auditor or respective client.</p>	<p>We wish to state there works are covered under "Real Estate Development" as the works include building works along with infrastructure such as roads, water supply, drainage, landscape etc.</p>	
<p>8. For calculation of Value of B in Bid Capacity, Bidder is required to submit its ongoing and existing commitments to be completed in next '3' years but as per the documents submitted by the bidder in its bid, bidder has submitted its ongoing and existing commitments to be completed in next '2' years only.</p> <p>9. Value of B - Bidder has submitted the Statement showing value of all existing commitments and ongoing works verified by Statutory Auditor in respect of the Value of 'B', However Bidder has submitted self-certified certificates for all the projects. Amount of work completed duly certified from client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects are required as per RFP.</p> <p>Please provide the location in the submitted bid from where the same can be found.</p>	<p>Revised statement is attached herewith.</p> <p>We have submitted the work done amount certificate by chartered Accountants. We have shown balance cost as the total cost of work minus the work done cost. Hence, we think separate certificate from Ex. Engineer is not necessary.</p>	<p>The same is considered acceptable.</p>
<p>10. Bidder is required to submit completed audited reports (Directors Report, Auditors Report, B/S, P/L, CFS & Notes to Account) for last five years but the same is not found in the submitted bid. In the documents submitted only Balance Sheet & P/L are not found.</p>	<p>Attached herewith.</p>	<p>The same is considered for evaluation.</p>
<p>M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited.</p>		

SC Ash B (with) L (2)

<p>1. For calculation of Value of B in Bid Capacity, Bidder is required to submit its ongoing and existing commitments to be completed in next '3' years but as per the documents submitted by the bidder in its bid, bidder has submitted its ongoing and existing commitments to be completed in next '2' years only.</p> <p>2. Value of B - Bidder has submitted the Statement showing value of all existing commitments and ongoing works verified by Statutory Auditor in respect of the Value of 'B', However Bidder has submitted self-certified certificates for all the projects. Amount of work completed duly certified from client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects are required as per RFP.</p> <p>Please provide the location in the submitted bid from where the same can be found.</p>	<p>It is a type error. Instead of 3 Years it was typed as 2 years. However, we have furnished the existing commitments and ongoing working to be completed during the next 3 years. We submitted the data reset statement, as per your request.</p> <p>Statutory Auditor has issued the certificate duly verifying the Invoices raised by us / Payment receipts from the respective clients.</p> <p>Self Certified Certificate for the Projects was submitted only for S.No.2 work namely "Widening of existing Road to 2 lane NECHIPU to HOJ via seppa, Khodaso, Sagalee-Arunachal Pradesh" Vide Page no. 30 of submitted Bid. For the other works S.No.1 & S.No.3 to 6 such certificates were inadvertently missed out by oversight However, we are now submitted the self certified certificate "Amount of work completed duly certified from client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/ Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects" as per your request.</p>	<p>The same is considered acceptable</p>
---	---	--

6. The details of Technical Capacity and Bid capacity of the Bidders are given below:-

	Bidder Name	Technical Capacity Claimed (in cr.)	Technical Capacity Assessed (in cr.) Required Rs.379.77 Cr.	Net worth assessed (in cr.) Required Rs.15.192 Cr.	Bid Capacity > Rs.151.92 cr.
1	Eagle Infra India Limited	448.22	385.77	99.30	Yes
2	Sushee Infra & Mining Limited	1162.01	756.04	269.68	Yes

7. The status of eligibility provided by the Financial Consultant is tabulated below.


Sl.No.	Name of the Bidder / JV	Status of eligibility
1.	M/s Eagle Infra India Limited	Eligible
2.	M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited.	Eligible


8. The financial Consultant has recommend to open the Financial bid of **M/s Eagle Infra India Limited** and **M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited** being Technically Responsive bidders.


9. The Committee discussed the final evaluation report submitted by the Consultant and noted that the applications of the both firms namely **M/s Eagle Infra India Limited** and **M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited.** met the Threshold Technical and Financial capacity and are Technically Responsive in terms thereof. The Committee, therefore, recommends that the Financial Bids of following two bidders as recommended by financial consultant be opened with the approval of the Competent Authority:

Sl.No.	Name of the Bidder / JV
1.	M/s Eagle Infra India Limited
2.	M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Limited.

10. Committee also noted that the proposal for Revised Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction has been sent to Ministry and same is awaited.


(V.K. Rajawat)
(ED-I)
Chairman


(Y.C. Srivastava)
(GM-Tech)
Member
Secretary


Subodh Malik
(GM-Tech)
Member


A K Jha
(DGM-Tech)
Member


Sunil Gupta
(Manager-Fin.)
Member