

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001. +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062  
(A Government of India Enterprise)

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil Work/Kohima Bypass/Pkg I/1501

Dated: 16.01.2023

To

All Respective Bidders,

**Subject:** - Construction of two lane with paved shoulder of Kohima-Bypass Road connecting NH-39 (New NH-02), NH-150(New NH-02), NH-61(New NH-29) and NH-39 (New NH-02) from Design Km 0.00 to design Km 10.500 [Design Length - 10.500 Km] in the state of Nagaland Under SARDP-NE on EPC Mode (Package I). **Technical Evaluation Result - Reg.**

Refer Tender ID: 2022\_NHIDC\_708617\_1

Sir,

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	Reason/Remarks
1	M/s Satya Builders - M/s Shyam Infra Nirman Private Limited (JV)	Technically non- Responsive	Non-responsive as per RFP Section -2 clause 2.2.2.2(i) and Section-7 Datasheet (4) the required Technical Threshold Capacity should be Rs. 226.17 Crore.  Also, as per RFP section-2 clause 2.2.2.4 (i), the Joint Venture must collectively and individually satisfy the above qualification criteria i.e JV shall collectively fulfil the 100% requirement.  Accordingly, the Technical Threshold Capacity has been calculated is Rs. 201.45 Crore which is less than the required.
2	M/s Saiprashanth Engineers and Infrastructure Private Limited- M/s J Anand Reddy (JV)	Non-Responsive	Non-responsive as per RFP Section 2 clause 2.11.2, Bidder was required to submit physical submission of Bid Documents/POA etc by date and time mentioned in NIT, RFP Section 7 Data Sheet, as per RFP section 3 clause 3.1.6, 3.1.6.1 (Test of responsiveness) stated that "A Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if the

			<p>documents are received” and as per RFP Section 2 clause 2.13 which states that “Physical receipt of documents listed at clause 2.11.2 of the RFP after the prescribed date and time given in the Data Sheet shall not be considered and the bid shall be summarily rejected”.</p> <p>Accordingly, the bid has been considered as non-responsive.</p>
3	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Technically non-Responsive	<p>Technically non-responsive as per RFP section 2 clause 2.20.1 and as per RFP section 3 clause 3.1.6, 3.1.6.1. The bidder has not submitted SFMS confirmation, during enquiry PNB bank has informed that the submitted BG is fake.</p> <p>Accordingly, the bid has been considered as technically non-responsive</p>

2. Since this is the first call, and no bid is found Technically Responsive therefore the tender has been annulled.

Yours Sincerely,

  
 (A.K. Jha)  
 GM (Tech)