

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2335 1282, www.nhidcl.com



सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No.- NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil Works/CZ (0-25)/2017

Date: 19.03.2018

To,

M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.

M/s Gawar Construction Limited

Subject:- Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Chakabama Zunheboto Road on EPC basis from existing Km 00.000 to Km 25.760 [Design Km. 00.000 to Km. 25.000] (Design Length - 25.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE-Opening of Financial bids regarding

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 14.03.2018 for the subject project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders whose financial bids shall be opened on 20.03.2018 at 1300 hrs in NHIDCL HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Gawar Construction Ltd. Company	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. All the technically responsive bidders are requested to provide Appendix-IA of Annexure VI A duly filled up and signed by 20.03.2018 (1100 Hrs.) positively. The financial bids shall be opened in accordance with para 3.2 of RFP.

3. All the Authorized representatives are requested to attend the opening of Financial Bids at the Scheduled date and Time.

Y.C. Srivastava

GM (Tech)

Encl.- ETEC MoM 1st and 2nd meeting

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) regarding evaluation of Technical Bids of "Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Chakabama-Zunheboto Road from existing Km 00.000 to Km 25.760 [Design Km. 00.000 to Km. 25.000] (Design Length - 25.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 15.03.2018 (1st Meeting).


1. The bids for subject work were invited on 14.11.2017 and physically received on/before scheduled bid due date (online & physical submission) 13.03.2018 upto 1100 hrs.
2. ETBC met to open the Technical bid on 14.03.2018 at 1100 Hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically as well as online -
 - (i) M/s Gawar Construction Limited Company.
 - (ii) M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.
3. The hard copies of the bids were opened and after verification of PoA and DSC details the online bids were also opened and verified. The hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/>) were handed over to the concerned Financial Consultant M/s KRA & Co. for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids.
4. The Financial Consultant M/s KRA & Co. during preliminary RFP evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by 1 Bidder namely M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd. is inadequate or requires clarification for further evaluation. It is proposed by the Financial Consultant to seek clarification from the Bidders before further evaluation. Details of clarifications required are as below--


Name of the Bidder: M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.


SN	Reference	Clarification Required
1	Appendix – III (POA for signing of Bid) of M/s Shivalaya Constructions Limited	In the Board Resolution dated 02.02.2018 submitted along with the Appendix-III, it is not clear who will execute the POA (in this case Pradeep nandal) in favour of Shripal Aggarwal <i>Therefore, Bidder is required to clarify through some supporting documents that how Pradeep nandal is authorised to execute the POA in favour of Shripal Aggarwal.</i>

5. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** is of the view that the clarification as requested by the Financial Consultant may be sought from the bidder subject to approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.


Col Ajay Ahluwalia
(ED-1): Chairman


Adil Singh
GM (Technical): Member


Y. C. Srivastava
GM (Technical): Member Secretary


U. S. Prasad
DGM (Technical): Member


Uttam Chatterjee
DGM (Finance): Member

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of 2nd Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for “Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Chakabama Zunheboto Road on EPC basis from existing Km 00.000 to Km 25.760 [Design Km. 00.000 to Km. 25.000] (Design Length - 25.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE” held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 19.03.2018

1. The bids for the subject work were invited on 14.11.2017 and physically received on/before the scheduled bid due date (online & physical submission) 13.03.2018 upto 1100 hrs.
2. ETBC met to open the Technical bid on 14.03.2018 at 1100 Hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online -
 - (i) M/s Gawar Construction Ltd. Company
 - (ii) M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.
3. The Financial Consultant in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 244.35 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	244.35
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	366.53
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	219.92
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	73.30
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	61.09
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	24.44
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	24.44
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	12.22
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.33
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.44
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	48.87
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	29.33
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	9.77
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	244.35
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	146.61
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	48.87

4. **The Financial Consultant** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. The financial consultant has stated that the Technical & Financial and Bid Capacities of all the Bidders will be provided only after receiving the replies from the bidders for the respective queries. Accordingly, the **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** in its 1st

meeting held on 15.03.2018 has been of a view that the clarification as requested by the Financial Consultant is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. Clarifications were sought from the bidders and were handed over to the Financial Consultant for the further evaluation.

6. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** held on 15.03.2018, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report submitted by the Financial Consultant vide letter no. dated 19.03.2018, were deliberated by the ETEC in 2nd meeting held on 19.03.2018. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations, reply received and the submissions of Financial Consultant are tabulated below.

Bidder: M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.

Sr. No.	Appendix / Clause reference	Observation	Reply	Financial Consultant Remarks	Remark
1	Appendix - III (POA for signing of Bid) of Ketil Constructions Limited	In the Board Resolution dated 02.02.2018 submitted along with the Appendix-III, it is not clear who will execute the POA (in this case Pradeep nandal) in favour of Shripal Aggarwal <i>Therefore, Bidder is required to clarify through some supporting documents that how Pradeep nandal is authorised to execute the POA in favour of Shripal Aggarwal.</i>	Please find enclosed herewith the copy of the Board Resolution for the same.	The required information has now been submitted by the bidder which is satisfactory.	Agreed

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the two bidders as per the report are as under:

Summary of Technical Evaluation					
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 366.53 Cr.	Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2-(ii) = Rs. 61.09 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 219.915 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 73.305 Cr.
1.	M/s Gawar Construction Ltd.	662.51	311.85	NA	NA
3.	M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.	510.44	134.20	NA	NA

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR Crores)	Turnover (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Gawar Construction Ltd.	SE	100%	380.97	64.90	Y
2.	M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.	SE	100%	102.62	261.98	Y

L.L


Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Chakabama Zunheboto Road on EPC basis from existing Km 00.000 to Km 25.760 [Design Km. 00.000 to Km. 25.000] (Design Length - 25.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Value of A Rs. In Cr.	Value of B Rs. In Cr.	Bid Capacity Assessed	Bid Capacity Claimed	Remarks
1	Gawar Construction Limited	1749.48	7492.47	5628.63	7639.08	
2	Shivalaya Constructions Company Pvt Ltd	454.59	1044.35	2365.08	2780.02	

8. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation carried out by the Financial Consultant and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Gawar Construction Ltd.	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Shivalaya Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Ajay Ahulwalia
(ED-I): Chairman


Y.C. Srivastava
(GM-Tech)
Member
Secretary


Adil Singh
(GM-Tech)
Member


U.S. Prasad
DGM (Tech)
Member


Uttam Chatterjee
DGM -Fin.
Member