

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110 001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2335 1282, www.nhidcl.com



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No. NHIDCL/Nagaland/ Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon (0 to 86.835)/AE/2017/297 Date: 07/05/2018

Subject: "Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Two lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road from: (i) Design Km 0.000 to Km 20.000 (Existing Km 0.000 to Km 20.456) (ii) Design Km 20.000 to Km 40.000 (Existing Km 20.456 to Km 41.065) (iii) Design Km 40.000 to Km 59.000 (Existing Km 41.065 to Km 60.345) (iv) Design Km 59.000 to Km 86.835 (Existing Km 60.345 to Km 98.065)" in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE on EPC Mode." -Opening of Financial Bid regarding.

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 17.04.2018 submitted towards subject cited project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L	88.14	Eligible
2	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd.	89.36	Eligible
3	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV)	88.92	Eligible
4	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd - M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd (JV)	Non Responsive as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2.	Non - Eligible

2. The copy of minutes of meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 27.04.2018 is also enclosed.

3. In case of any representation, the bidders may represent by 14.05.2018(1100 hrs).

4. The opening of Financial Bids shall be conveyed separately after disposal of representation(s) if any.

Yours Faithfully,

Y.C Srivastava
General Manager (T)

Subject: Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluating Technical Bids for Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Two lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road from: (i) Design Km 0.000 to Km 20.000 (Existing Km 0.000 to Km 20.456) (ii) Design Km 20.000 to Km 40.000 (Existing Km 20.456 to Km 41.065) (iii) Design Km 40.000 to Km 59.000 (Existing Km 41.065 to Km 60.345) (iv) Design Km 59.000 to Km 86.835 (Existing Km 60.345 to Km 98.065)" in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE on EPC Mode." held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 27.04.2018.

1. The RFP for the above subject work was invited with bid due date on 17.04.2018 till 1530hrs. In all, **Four bids** were received from the following bidders.

Sr. No.	Name of Applicant
1.	M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L
2.	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd.
3.	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV)
4.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd - M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd (JV)

2. The technical bid for the project was opened on 18.04.2018 at 1600 hrs on CPP portal and INFRACON portal. The hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/>) were handed over to Financial Consultant for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids. The Financial Consultant had submitted the RFP evaluation report in respect of each bidder, based on the stipulated evaluation criteria mentioned in the RFP.

3. The Financial consultant (KRA & CO.) has also stated the following against M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd - M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd (JV).

(i) In the submitted BG, the employer is mentioned as the General Manager (T), 3rd floor, PTI Building, 4-parliament street, New Delhi-110001 instead of NHIDCL, the same should be required to be corrected/amended.

(ii) As per the Format of BG, the words "SEALED with the common Seal of the said bank this --- day of ----20xx". Were required to be written which are deleted. The same should be required to be corrected/amended.

(iii) As per the Format of BG, the words

" this Guarantee will remain in force up to and including the date 180 (one hundred and eighty) days after the deadline for submission of bids as such deadline is stated in the Instructions to bidders or as it may be extended by the employer, notice of which extension(s) to the Bank is hereby waived."

Were required to be written instead of the written words which are

"this Guarantee will remain in force up to and including the date 11-10-2018 after the deadline for submission of bids as such deadline is stated in the Instructions to Bidders or as it may be extended Employer may extend it, notice of which extension(s) to the Bank Should be informed through written communication before 11-10-2018"

Therefore, the correct words as per the BG format should be required to be corrected/amended.

Ajay A    

(iv) As per the Format of BG, the words

“Unless a claim or a demand in writing is made upon us on or before 11-10-2018, all our liability under this guarantee shall cease”.

Were required to be written instead of the written words which are

“we are liable to pay the guarantee amount or any part thereof under this Bank Guarantee only and only if you serve upon us a written claim or demand on or before 10-08-2018 all our liability under this guarantee shall cease.”

The meaning of the both language seems to be same however the date of 10-08-2018 as mentioned in the submitted BG should to be required to be corrected/amended as 11-10-2018.

(v) As per the format of BG, the words “This Guarantee shall also be operatable at our New Delhi Branch located at ----- from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/renewal thereof shall be made available on demand. In the contingency of this guarantee being invoked and payment there under claimed, the said branch shall accept such invocation letter and make payment of amounts so demanded under the said invocation”. Were required to be written which are deleted. The same should be required to be corrected/amended.

4. The status of eligibility provided by the Financial Consultant is tabulated below.

S. No	Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L	88.14	Eligible
2	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd.	89.36	Eligible
3	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV)	88.92	Eligible
4	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd - M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd (JV)	Non Responsive as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2.	Non - Eligible

5. One Key personnel of M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L i.e. Mr. Bhushendra Kumar C. Fuley (Resident Engineer cum pavement specialist + Road safety expert) could not score the minimum Qualifying marks of 75%.NHIDCL may ask to give a replacement for the key personnel who has scored less than 75 % marks from M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L if it becomes the preferred bidder. Reduction in remuneration would be made on account of above change by 5% as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f), Section-2.

6. One Key personnel of M/s TPF Getinsa EuroesTudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd. i.e. Mr. Singha Apurba (Resident Engineer cum pavement specialist + Road safety expert) could not score the minimum Qualifying marks of 75%.NHIDCL may ask to give a replacement for the key personnel who has scored less than 75 % marks from M/s TPF Getinsa EuroesTudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd. if it becomes the preferred bidder. Reduction in remuneration would be made on account of above change by 5% as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f),



Section-2.

7. One Key personnel of M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV) i.e. Mr. Satish Nag (Senior Contract Specialist) could not score the minimum Qualifying marks of 75%. NHIDCL may ask to give a replacement for the key personnel who has scored less than 75 % marks from M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV) if it becomes the preferred bidder. Reduction in remuneration would be made on account of above change by 5% as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f), Section-2.

8. Four Key personnel of M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd - M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd (JV) i.e. Resident Engineer cum pavement specialist + Road safety expert, Material Engineer, Bridge Design Specialist & Highway Design Specialist) could not score the minimum Qualifying marks of 75%. Hence, Consultant has been considered as Non Responsive as per clause 3.4 (iv) (f) of section 2.

9. In view of the above, committee recommends that Financial bids of the following firms with respective Technical scores to be opened with the approval of Competent Authority giving 7 days of time for representation if any to bidders.

Sr. No	Firm Name	Technical Score	Status
1	M/s EPTISA Servicios De Ingenieria, S.L	88.14	Eligible
2	M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in Association with M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt Ltd.	89.36	Eligible
3	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited - M/s Manglam Associates (JV)	88.92	Eligible

Col Ajay Ahluwalia (Retd)
ED-I
Convener

Y.C. Srivastava
GM-Tech
Member Secretary

Col Rajeev Sood (Retd)
GM-Tech
Member

27/04/16

U.S. Prasad
DGM(Tech)
Presenting
Officer

Uttam Chatterjee
DGM-Fin.
Member