NHIDCL/Silkyara Tunnel/AE/2018

To,

All the bidders

Subject: Consultancy Services for Authority’s Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend-Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.4 km and Ch. 51.0 km falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand” on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode. - Annulment of Bidding Process and re-invitation of Bids.

Ref: Your Bid Submitted on 25.10.2018

Sir,

The bidding process for the subject work has been annulled due to administrative reason. The bid is being re-invited having bid due date as 30.01.2018.

Yours sincerely

(Y.C.Srivastava)
General Manager(T)

MINUTES OF MEETING

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Financial Bid Evaluation Committee (EFEC) on 07.01.2019 for Consultancy Services for Authority’s Engineer for supervision of Construction, Operation and Maintenance of 2-lane Bi-Directional Silkyara Bend -Barkot Tunnel with escape passage including approaches on Dharasu-Yamunotri section between Ch. 25.400 and Ch. 51.000 falling along NH-134 (old NH-94) in the State of Uttarakhand on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

***

The Financial proposal of the 01 no. technically qualified bidder was opened on 20.12.2018 by Empowered Financial Bid Opening Committee (EFBC) in the presence of the authorized representatives of the bidder who chose to attend.

2. The Financial Consultant, vide his letter dated 20.12.2018 (Annexure-I) has submitted the Evaluation Report of the combined evaluation of technical and financial proposals, the combined score of 01 no. technically qualified bidder was determined for finding their inter se ranking in accordance with the provisions laid under Clause 5.0 of LOI section of RFP. The combined score of the firms is placed at Annexure-I with abstract as under,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name of Bidder</th>
<th>Technical Score (TS)</th>
<th>Financial Quote of the firm (Rs.)</th>
<th>Financial Score (FS)</th>
<th>Combined Score (0.8xTS+0.2xFS)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>92.33</td>
<td>695512012/-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93.86</td>
<td>H-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Committee further examined the report of financial consultant in consonance with the spirit of the RFP, Committee deliberated the issues accordingly and submits following.

(i) Only one bidder i.e. M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd is considered as Technically Responsive. The remaining two bidders have been considered as Technically Non Responsive by the Financial consultant as well as by Independent External Monitor (IEM).
(ii) As per the office memorandum dated 24.07.2015 of MoRTH, Government of India at para no. 2 (ix) (ii) “single bid received during 2nd call or more bids received during the 2nd call but Technically Responsive remaining only one. Technically Responsive bid to be approved by MD, NHIDCL on the recommendation of EFEC through Finance Division”.

(iii) Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) recommended to open a single bid of M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd and further approved by MD,NHIDCL.

(iv) The EFEC found that M/s TPF Getinsa Euroestudios S.L. in association With M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has RFP document with financial quote of Rs. 695512012/- excluding GST.


(a) Committee noted that appointed date of civil work is 09.07.2018.

(b) The first bid was invited on 08.06.2018 and despite of participation of four firms only single technically responsive bidder resulted into 2nd call. In 2nd call (NIT on 5th oct 2018) also after technical evaluation two bidders out of three found non-responsive resulted into single technically responsive bid.

(c) There is urgency to appoint AE being specialised work. The construction supervision charges allotted provision in AA & FS is Rs. 33.01. Cr. and financial quote of H1 bidder is 695512012/- excluding GST which is very high compared to the provision of AA & FS on this head.

(d) Committee taken a considered view to annul the existing bid on account of high financial quote compared to provision in AA & FS despite the urgency to appoint AE. The Committee also taken a note that re-invitation and finalisation of 3rd call can take 3 months but insisted for competitive bidding for reasonable financial quote. Considering exigency in appointment of AE committee recommended to invite bids with bid due date of 21-days.

(e) Sh. Sanjeev Malik, ED(III) the member of the EFEC informed the committee that M/s Eptisa sevicios De Ingenieria is functioning
as IE for Z- morh Tunnel being executed by M/s ILFS. The work has been stopped by the ILFS due to their internal financial issues. Whereas the IE is being paid as per Contractual Agreement without any progress in civil work.

4. Based on above deliberations committee recommended to annul the bidding process of 2\textsuperscript{nd} call on account of high financial quote by single responsive bidder and re-invite the bids.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair
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