

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION

CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

NHIDCL/A&N/NH-4/Package-VI/2018/

Date: - 07.01.2019

To,

All the Bidders

**Sub:** - Rehabilitation of section from Km 45.00 to Km 59.20 of NH-4 to Intermediate lane with hard shoulder in the Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands (Total Length 14.10) on EPC Mode (Package-VI)- Annulment of Bidding Process and re-invitation of Bids

**Ref:** - Your Bid Submitted on 18.12.2018

Sir,

The bidding process for the subject work has been annulled due to receipt of only 1(one) responsive bid in the 1<sup>st</sup> call. The bid is being re-invited having bid due date as 23.01.2019.

Yours sincerely

(Y.C. Srivastava)

General Manager (T)

Enclosure :-1. Minutes of meeting of ETEC dated 03.01.2019

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
**(Technical Division)**

Evaluation of Technical Bids for “Rehabilitation of section from Km 45.00 to Km 59.20 of NH-4 to Intermediate lane with hard shoulder in the Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands (Total Length 14.10) on EPC Mode (Package-VI)”-Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 02.01.2019 at NHIDCL, HQ.

The RFPs for the subject work were invited with Bid Due Date as 18.12.2018 till 15:00 hrs. In all, two bids were received from the following bidders:

Name of Work	Name of Bidders
Rehabilitation of section from Km 45.00 to Km 59.20 of NH-4 to Intermediate lane with hard shoulder in the Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands (Total Length 14.10) on EPC Mode (Package-VI)	M/s Jandu Construction Company
	M/s LSR Logistics Pvt. Ltd

2. During the first ETEC meeting held on 24.12.2018, ETEC decided to seek clarifications from all the 2 (two) bidders mentioned in the above table.

3. Accordingly, letter seeking clarifications were sent to both the bidders i.e. M/s Jandu Construction Company and M/s LSR Logistics Pvt. Ltd. vide NHIDCL letter dated 26.12.2018. In response, both bidders submitted their clarifications within stipulated time and the same were forwarded to the Financial Consultant M/s. Darashaw & Company Pvt. Ltd. Financial Consultant vide their letter dated 02.01.2019 has submitted their final report.

4. Queries wise reply of the bidder with consultant's recommendation is detailed below in tabular form:

M/s LSR Logistics Private Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Description of clarification sought	Bidders Reply	Consultant reply	Recommendation of ETEC
1.	Annex-II & IV of Appendix - IA (Technical capacity of the Bidder and Details of eligible projects)	During the evaluation it has been found that the bidder has claimed projects bearing serial no. a to e in category 3 i.e. experience in Highway sector The Bidder needs to clarify how these projects cover under category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP.	i) Most of our works have been carried out on either National Highways or State Highways or MDRs as per clause 2.2.2.6(ii). We are attaching documentary evidence of the same. ii) We hereby inform you that we received payments for road construction works executed by us during the last five financial years to the tune of Rs. 39.09 crore from various task forces of BRO i.e. 759 BRTF, 38 BRTF and 35 BRTF. We are attaching certificates of the payments	Bidder has clarified that the captioned project has been carried out for NH/SH/MDRs as per RFP. However, the work claimed by the bidder includes laying of bituminous macadam works. This issue was discussed with the Authority, and it has been decided that projects with project code A, B & D is to be considered under 2.2.2.5(iii)(III) for work of	ETEC agreed with the recommendation of consultant.

*(Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink)*

			received by us as documentary proof. Appended 1(i) a-c (3) pages (ii) a-e (6) pages	Improvement in Riding Quality work under category 3. Further, as per RFP works related to Improvement in Riding Quality work cannot be considered for single completed work under Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.	
2.	Appendix-I - Annex-VI- Bid Capacity	Information provided in Annex VI i.e. "To calculate value of "A" and "C"" and "To calculate value of "B", have not been certified by the Statutory Auditor.	Now we have attached the Annex VI i.e. to calculate value of "A" and "C" and to calculate the value of "B" which have been certified by the statutory auditor. We are attaching documentary evidence of the same. Appended 2(i) to (ii) 2 pages	As the bidder has re-submitted Annex VI certified by the Statutory Auditor as required therefore the same may be accepted.	ETEC agreed with the recommendation of consultant.
3.	Appendix-II - Bank Guarantee for Bid security	Bidder has submitted Bank Guarantee for Bid security having validity upto <b>14th June 2019</b> , which is less than 180 days i.e. <b>16th June 2019</b> from Bid due date as per clause 2.20.1.  In Point No. 9, Bidder not mentioned name of Bank along with branch address.	We have extended our bank guarantee from 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2019 to up to 14 <sup>th</sup> July 2019. We have also attached amendment of the bank. We are attaching documentary evidence of the same. Appended 3(i) to (iii) (3) pages.  Now in point no.9 of bank guarantee we have written bank name and branch address. We are attaching documentary evidence of the same. Appended 3(i) to (iii) (3) pages	Bidder has furnished amendment to Bank Guarantee for extension till 14 <sup>th</sup> July 2019. In view of the clarification received, same may be accepted.	ETEC agreed with the recommendation of consultant.

**M/s Jandu Construction Company**

Sl. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Description of clarification sought	Bidders Reply	Consultant reply	Recommendation of ETEC
1.	Appendix-II - Bank Guarantee for Bid security	During the evaluation it has been found that the different project name is mentioned in the Bank Guarantee. The project name i.e. <b>"Rehabilitation of section from Km 00.00 to Km 12.00 section in Andaman Trunk Road of NH-4 to Intermediate lane with hard shoulder in the Union Territory of Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands (Total Length 12.00 km) on EPC Mode (Package-V)" is mentioned</b>  Instead of  <b>"Rehabilitation of section from</b>	In the context, it is intimated that we had made request to Yes Bank Limited, Panipat for the issue of Bank Guarantee for the work cited as subject but erroneously bank has written another name of work in the Bank Guarantee. It is further intimated that Bank has changed the point no. 14 in the bank	The bidder in its reply submitted to the Authority stated that erroneously bank has written another name of work in the Bank Guarantee and has submitted their clarification in companies letter head state that he made request to Yes Bank for the change in name of the project and Bank has changed the point no. 14 in the bank guarantee at	ETEC agreed with the recommendation of consultant.

*[Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink]*

	<p><i>Km 45.00 to Km 59.20 of NH-4 to Intermediate lane with hard shoulder in the Union Territory of Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands (Total Length 14.10) on EPC Mode (Package-VI)".</i></p>	<p>guarantee at own level for operatable at Panipat Branch instead of New Delhi.</p>	<p>own level for operatable at Panipat Branch instead of New Delhi. There is no amendment to the Bank Guarantee is received instead a simple clarification is received from the bidder in its Letter Head. <b>In the absence of proper clarification the submission of the bidder is not acceptable.</b></p>	
	<p><i>In point no 14 a bidder is required to mention that "This Bank Guarantee shall also be operable at our New Delhi Branch". However, in point no 14 the bidder has mentioned that "This Bank Guarantee shall also be operable at our Panipat branch is mentioned" which is not as per the format of RFP.</i></p>			<p>ETEC agreed with the recommendation of consultant.</p>

5. Committee deliberated in detail upon the clarifications received and recommendation of financial consultant and observed that only 1 (one) bidder i.e. **M/s Jandu Construction Company** is fulfilling the RFP criteria of responsiveness and hence declared **technically responsive** provisionally subject to the observation related to Bank Guarantee for Bid Security and remaining 1 (one bidder) i.e. M/s LSR Logistics Private Limited is not meeting the minimum **eligibility criteria of Technical Threshold Capacity** which is required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP, hence declared **non-responsive**. As only 1 (one) bidder has qualified for financial opening, ETEC recommended for annulment of bidding process and to re-invite the bids after seeking approval of the Competent Authority.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder/JV	Responsive status for Financial Opening
1.	M/s Jandu Construction Company	Yes
2.	M/s LSR Logistics Pvt. Ltd	No

6. Meeting ended with Vote of thanks to Chair.

  
V K Singh  
(ED-IV)  
Chairman

  
Y. C. Srivastava  
(GM-Tech)  
Member Secretary

  
A. K. Gupta  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
V. Jaiswal  
DGM (Tech)  
Member

  
Uttam Chatterjee  
DGM (Finance)  
Member